**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION No.13715 of 2007**

**Date:29.06.2007**

Between:

T.Somi Reddy S/o Mutha Reddy

….**Petitioner**

And:

The State of A.P., rep., by the Chief Registrar, (Births and Deaths),
Department of Medical and Health (vital statistics)
Hyderabad and another.

….**Respondents**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION No.13715 of 2007**

**ORDER:**

The petitioner had a daughter, by name Tukkani Sarala. She is said to have been born on 30.01.1977. To that effect, the second respondent herein issued a date of birth certificate. It is urged that by the time the birth certificate was issued, the child was not named and later on it became necessary for obtaining a certificate, which contains the name of the child. For this purpose, the petitioner filed a representation, dated 23.06.2007 to the second respondent for issuance of a birth certificate to his daughter, duly entering her name in it. The second respondent replied, through the letter dated 25.06.2007, stating that such a course is not permissible under Section 14 of the Registration of Birth and Death Act, 1969 (for short "the Act"). The petitioner assails the same.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is nothing in the Act, which precludes or prohibits the registering authority to enter the name of the person in the birth certificate even at a subsequent stage. He places reliance upon the judgment of Gujarat High Court reported in ***Dipika Arvindkur Pancholi v. State of Gujarat***[1].

The learned Government Pleader for Medical and Health, on the other hand, submits that the petitioner had not established the identity

of the person and in the absence of the same, the second respondent cannot be compelled to enter the name in the birth certificate.

The Act provides for issuance of birth certificate. The certificate in Form No.V provides for entering the name of the person, whose date of birth is certified. It is a common practice, particularly, in Indian families that the children are named after performing a ceremony. If the birth certificate is issued before such ceremony, there does not exist an occasion for entering the name. From the Acts and the Rules made thereunder, it is clear that there is no prohibition for entering the name of the person, may be after due verification. The Gujarat High Court took the view that it is permissible under the Act and Rules to enter the name of a person even after fifteen years, if the identity of the person is not in dispute.

Petitioner contends that his daughter is working in USA and it has become necessary to enter his daughter's name in the birth certificate.

Having regard to the provisions of the Act and the judgment of the Gujarat High Court, this Court finds that the second respondent ought to have considered the application of the petitioner and entered the name of his daughter in the certificate, after satisfying as to the identity.

Hence, the writ petition is disposed of directing the second respondent to consider the application of the petitioner and enter the name of his daughter in the birth certificate, after satisfying himself that the certificate relates to the person whose name is sought to be entered. For this purpose, the candidate, whose date of birth is entered, shall appear before the second respondent, file an application and prove his identity. It shall be open to the second respondent to

receive any further or additional information or material. This action shall be completed within a period of four weeks from the date of making the fresh application, as indicated above. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

29.06.2007

sh

---

[1] AIR 2003 Gujarat 102